

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

**Group Attendees**-Kerry DeGaris (Group chair) - SENRM Board, Scott Manser - Lucerne Australia, Jodie Carey – SA Water, Paul Leadbeter – Conservation Council, Robert Mock – District Council Tatiara, Glyn Ashman – SA Water.

**Apology**- Richard Halliday – Livestock SA, Scott Campbell – Lucerne Australia, Wayne Dodd – USE NRM Group, David Edwards – Mundulla Vignerons Inc, Trent Reilly – Mundulla Vignerons Inc.

**Staff Attendees**-Phil Elson (PE) – Acting Team Leader Water Policy & Planning NRSE, Matthew Honner (MH) – Project Officer Water Planning NRSE (minutes)

Item	Notes
Welcome	Kerry DeGaris welcomed attendees and introduced Matt Honner (minutes)
Previous meeting notes	<p><b>Minutes</b>            Previous minutes from meeting 9 confirmed as true and correct.</p> <p><b>Action outcomes to note</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action 1.13 – There was discussion around whether to remove this action due to the minimal impact of clay spreading/delving. To be decided at the next meeting.</li> <li>• Action 3.19 – List of permit provisions from other WAPs tabled at meeting. List to be circulated to group.</li> <li>• Action 7.2 – in agenda to discuss.</li> <li>• Action 7.3 – Still waiting for final report.</li> <li>• Action 8.2 – PE waiting for a framework from James Peters from Natural Resources Adelaide and Mt Lofty Ranges.</li> <li>• Action 9.2 – PE has circulated unbundling paper. In agenda to discuss.</li> <li>• Action 9.3 – PE has permission from Crown Solicitor’s Office to give a verbal summary only. Verbal summary will be delivered at next meeting.</li> <li>• Action 9.4 the grouping table for the discussion papers has been circulated and the group is to provide feedback. See task 9.1</li> </ul> <p><b>Outstanding action list items noted</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action 1.12, 8.3, 8.4 and 9.1 are completed and to be closed.</li> <li>• Action 1.14 and 6.2 have been placed on hold.</li> <li>•</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendations</b>            Nil</p> <p><b>Advisory Group member Tasks</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Task 6.1 - ongoing</li> <li>• Task 9.1 – Group now to provide feedback before the next meeting.</li> </ul>

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

Item	Notes
Ground rules	No points were raised by members in relation to the Ground Rules
Group charter	<p>PE proposed an amendment to the charter which was discussed by the group. Amendment would allow an observer to act as a proxy and thus reduce the occurrences of meetings not having enough members for a quorum. Not all groups have nominated observers but they are all entitled to one. The group agreed they did not want to see un-necessary delays due to a quorum not being reached and agreed with the proposed wording. Timing of review also to be updated. To be discussed and decided upon at the next meeting when a quorum is reached.</p> <p><b>Action 10.1</b> – PE to circulate amended group charter to members by email for consideration at next meeting.</p>
Management areas	<p>PE delivered a presentation on management areas (the reasons they exists, and methods to determine boundaries) which he will also email to group members (along with the accompanying maps). This is intended to help the group start thinking about boundaries, reasons to change them, and where future boundaries might be drawn. The presentation and maps included a hydrogeological line through the Prescribed Wells Area that has been developed by Roger Cranswick (DEW hydrogeologist) that separates the plains from the highlands.</p> <p>The group discussed the option of aligning boundaries more closely with hydrogeological zones (plains vs highlands) rather than hundreds. The border zone is not able to be changed. Following hydrogeological zones means a messy looking boundary which would not follow neatly defined hundred lines.</p> <p>The following points were agreed to in principle:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any boundary created along hydrogeological zones should not cross into the 20km border zone.</li> <li>• Any new boundaries should follow a legally defined property boundary, section, parcel, road etc., so that farms are not split in half.</li> </ul> <p>Task: NRSE to draw up some example maps that follow Roger’s line (along nearest legal boundaries) for consideration at the next meeting. These can include various suggestions that were marked up by the group on the maps provided.</p> <p>Task: The group would like Roger to develop a more detailed map of the Mundulla area to help determine where a proposed new boundary would best be placed.</p> <p>Comment: When cuts were imposed, the Department made a promise that if the condition of the resource recovered to suitable levels then any available water would be returned to the licensees that lost it. This needs to be considered if any changes to management area boundaries results in water becoming available.</p>

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

Item	Notes
	<p><b>Action 10.2</b> – PE to circulate presentation on boundaries to members by email.</p> <p><b>Action 10.3</b> – NRSE to draft up possible management area boundaries based on meeting discussion. (This action replaces action 7.2.)</p> <p><b>Action 10.4</b> – RC to review hydrogeological boundary in Mundulla area and provide a more detailed map.</p>
Risk Assessment Framework	<p>PE delivered a presentation on risk assessment that described the process and methodology that will be used for the WAP (and handed out printed copies). There are several sections that require considerable input from the group including developing risk statements, consequence criteria, likelihood criteria, and assessing the risks.</p> <p>The Group proposed waste disposal sites (dumps) and biological contaminants (iron fouling bacteria) be added to the list of risk sources and that wedgeholes could potentially be removed.</p> <p><b>Task 10.1</b> - Before the next meeting the group is to review the bowtie diagram and determine anything to be added to risk sources events, and consequences. Input to be provided before the next meeting.</p> <p><b>Task 10.2</b> - The group to consider what spatial and time scales they prefer to be used in the risk assessment.</p> <p><b>Action 10.5</b> – PE to prepare a revised bow tie diagram for presentation at the next meeting based on members input.</p>
Unbundling	<p>PE provided an update that the SE NRM Board has suspended any development of unbundling in the new WAP. It will proceed as a bundled WAP. This is due to the unknown implications of the new government’s policy to abolish the NRM Act and replace it with a newly developed Landscapes SA Act and whether unbundling provisions will continue in the new Act..</p>
Summary of Discussion – Next Steps	<p>Next meeting has been set for Tuesday 18 December.</p>
Meeting Close	<p>7.35pm</p>

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

<b>Action</b>	<b>Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Actions</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
1.2	Positions for Onion and Potato Grower Organisations be held open for members if they are able to become involved as the planning process develops.	ongoing	Organisations may be represented at any stage of review
1.4	Build in engagement of JBS into the Community Engagement Strategy	JBS to be contacted along with other confined users	Ongoing
1.7	Set Ground Rules and the Charter as a standing meeting agenda item.	ongoing	Remain open for discussion & review
1.13	Impacts of clay spreading / delving requires greater understanding – Naracoorte ranges report to be located and communicated to the group	Dan Newson to be contacted to provide clay spreading presentation to group	Address when discussion paper is prepared
1.14	Work on consumptive pools and unbundling will need to be scheduled into the groups work plan / forward agenda programme	On hold pending further advice on Landscapes Act unbundling provisions	Unbundling has been suspended by the Board
3.19	NRSE Staff to review permit provisions against state wide permit provisions.	List tabled at meeting.	Table to be circulated to group
6.2	Staff to bring back some potential examples of unbundling and consumptive pool/s to help the group gain better understanding.	On hold	
7.2	Jen Schilling & Phil Elson to review boundary options and prepare some options based on discussion		See action 10.3
7.3	Staff to circulate modelling report to group	Awaiting approval for release	Follow up with RC
8.2	Staff to report back to group on the socio-economic assessment workshop.	Awaiting update	NRSE to provide copy of the draft when available
9.2	PE to develop an issue paper on unbundling for the group outlining the pros and cons of unbundling in the Tatiara PWA.	Paper circulated to group	Unbundling has been suspended by the Board
9.3	Summary of the crown solicitor office advice on the Adelaide Plains WAP review– to be circulated to the group.	Verbal summary to be provided at next meeting	
9.4	PE to share the issues grouping table with the group members for their comments and suggestions	Grouping table circulated. See group task 9.1	
10.1	PE to circulate amended group charter to members for consideration at next meeting.	Sent by email	
10.2	PE to circulate presentation on boundaries to members by email.	Sent by email	

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

<b>Action</b>	<b>Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Actions</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
10.3	NRSE to draft up possible management area boundaries based on meeting discussion. (This action replaces action 7.2.)		
10.4	RC to review hydrogeological boundary in Mundulla area and provide a more detailed map.		
10.5	PE to prepare a revised bow tie diagram for presentation at the next meeting based on members input.		

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Recommendation Table**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Board Decision</b>
6.1	That the nominations by Mundulla Vignerons Assoc. of David Edwards as member representative and Trent Reilly as observer representative be accepted and approved.	Approved
6.2	That the resignation of David Edwards as observer representative for the District Council of Tatiara be accepted.	Approved
6.3	That the District Council of Tatiara be contacted seeking a nomination for an observer representative to replace David Edwards.	Approved
6.4	That upon the disbandment of the USE NRM Group in February 2018 that the SAG charter be amended by the removal of the USE Group from the stakeholder membership list and that a community stakeholder representative membership position be added to the SAG charter.	Approved
6.5	That upon the disbandment of the USE NRM Group, Wayne Dodd be reappointed to the SAG as the community stakeholder representative member on the SAG.	Approved
6.6	That the final draft version of the principles as endorsed by the SAG be submitted to the Board for approval.	Approved
8.1	That the revised timeline for the Tatiara WAP review be submitted to the Board for endorsement.	Approved
8.2	That the group chair send a letter to the NRM board recommending that the Board provide comment to the Landscapes SA Bill public consultation on unbundling.	Approved

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Members Task Table**

<b>Task No.</b>	<b>Task</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
6.1	Members to consider the instruments outlined and potential areas of consumptive pool/s. Consider the provisions that the WAP needs to be built on e.g. enhancing trade, management of hot spots etc.	Ongoing	
9.1	Group to review issues groupings for development of the discussion papers and provide feedback.	Input to be provided before the next meeting	

**Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Summary Meeting 10** 08 November 2018 – 5pm NRSE Office Keith

10.1	The group is to review the risk assessment bowtie diagram and determine if anything is to be added or amended to the risk sources, events or consequences.	Input to be provided before the next meeting	
10.2	The group to consider what spatial and time scales they prefer to be used in the risk assessment	Group to provide feedback by next meeting	