**Summary Meeting 1** 17 May 2017 – 7pm NRSE Office Keith

**Group Attendees**-Frank Brennan (FB) (Group chair and Presiding member SENRM Board), Robert Mock - Tatiara DC, Richard Halliday - Livestock SA, Frank Brennan - SE NRM Board, Paul Leadbeter - Conservation Council, Scott Campbell – Lucerne Australia, Trent Riley – Mundulla Grape Growers, Jodie Carey - SA Water, Glyn Ashman - SA Water, Wayne Dodd - USE NRM Group, Kerry Degaris SE NRM Board, David Edwards – Tatiara DC, Scott Manser - Lucerne Australia

#### **Apology-** Jeff Flint

**Staff Attendees-**Phil Elson (PE) - Senior Planning Officer NRSE, Jennifer Schilling (JS) Team Leader Water Policy and Planning NRSE, Roger Cranswick (RC) - Senior Hydrogeologist DEWNR

Item	Notes			
Welcome &	Frank Brennan welcomed attendees and invited members to introduce themselves			
Introduction				
Context for the	Jen Schilling (JS) provide a presentation to provide context for Water Planning under the NRM Act, a brief over view of the context			
WAP Review	and amendments for the Tatiara WAP since its approval in 2010.			
	Tatiara WAP review			
	The NRM Board decision making process and community engagement undertaken to assist in the decision to proceed with the Tatiara			
	WAP was outlined. It was highlighted that the engagement process included discussion of what was working well with the WAPs,			
	what areas of the WAPs needed improvement and the views of the community on the question of if the Padthaway, Tintinara			
	Coonalpyn and Tatiara WAPs should be amalgamated.			
	<b>Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group</b> The context for the formation of the group was highlighted, including that the group is a			
	key conduit to a range of key stakeholder groups.			
	Issues for the WAP review were highlighted -The community feedback gained as part of the consultation included the need to			
	progress understanding of what unbundling water licenses, consumptive pools and surface water and groundwater interaction wi			
	mean for the WAP amendment.			
	<b>Timeline for Tatiara WAP Plan</b> – It was highlighted that Dec 2018 is the target date for the completion of plan with implementation			
5 1 0 5 11	from July 2019 – it was highlighted that the issues identified in the review could influence the timeline.			
Role & Function	Phil Elson (PE) facilitated discussion on the role and function of the group and outlined the decision making structure within which			
of the Advisory	the group would work. The Charter was reviewed, it was clarified that the group would have a key role in setting the direction for			
Group	the review and amendment and were not required to word-smith the plan. A key role for the group will be to develop draft			
	recommendations for the SE NRM Board to consider.			
	<u>Membership</u>			
	It was noted that some key stakeholders had identified that they were not able to nominate a representative for the group.			
	Nominations had not been received from the following groups			
	1) Onions Australia-declined to nominate			
	2) SE Potato Growers- declined to nominate			

Item	Notes
	3) PPSA- Feedback from PPSA indicated that as Livestock SA was represented on the group it was felt that PPSA
	representation was adequate
	4) Mackillop Farm Management group – declined to nominate based on the groups Charter in research education and
	training
	<u>Meetings</u>
	Intent is that the group meets at least once every 3 months or more regularly as required.
	Discussion included that there was some concern that some key water users in the PWA including Onion Growers and Potato
	Growers were not represented.
	The group agreed on actions 1.1, 1.2 & 1.3 in the attached Action Table.
	The group identified 3 other interested parties that may have a key interest in the Advisory Group:
	<ul> <li>Recreational users of Poocher Swamp – such as the sporting shooters association</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Industry –including meat processing industry in the Tatiara</li> </ul>
	Confined aquifer users - none on group
	<ul> <li>For these additional interested parties it was acknowledged that the Engagement Strategy prepared for the planning</li> </ul>
	processes needs to include the engagement of these groups. Build in engagement of JBS into the Engagement Strategy -
	listed as <b>action 1.4</b> in the Action Table.
	To address issues related to the group charter the group agreed on <b>recommendation 1.1</b> in the attached recommendation Table.
	<u>Media</u>
	Consistent with the Charter members were reminded that they should not make any comment to the media on behalf of the group
	and if approached to direct the enquiry to Frank Brennan, or NRSE Staff Jennifer Schilling or Phil Elson.
	How the group will operate
	The group had a brief discussion on how it would like to operate. As a result of this discussion the group agreed on action 1.5 in the
	attached Action Table to ensure learnings from other WAP processes (ie Lower Limestone Coast) are considered.
	How the Group will work together
	A set of draft Ground rules were presented for the group to review and consider for adoption. In addition to agreement on the draft
	ground rules tabled, 2 amendments were agreed and it was also agreed that the Ground Rules be set as a standing agenda item. See
	actions 1.6 & 1.7 in the Action Table.
	Group members were requested to consider the Ground Rules and bring any additions/ alterations to the next meeting for
	endorsement. This request is listed as <b>Task 1.1</b> in the attached Member Task Table.
	Frank Brennan will be away 6 June –19 July and that Kerry Degaris would be the Chair for the Advisory group during this period.
	Clarification was sought around if a member cannot attend repeatedly then is it appropriate for the stakeholder group to nominate
	another member?" <b>FB</b> indicated that the stakeholder group could be approached to re-nominate

Item	Notes
Volunteer Registration	<b>PE</b> highlighted the requirements and relevant DEWNR volunteer policy for group members to register as volunteers for the Advisory Committee. Members that were yet to fill in and provide their registration form were asked to provide to PE asap. This request is listed as <b>Task 1.2</b> in the attached Member Task Table.  Travel
	It was noted that enquiries had been made in relation to reimbursement of group members travel costs. Clarification is to be sought from the Board if there are provisions to provide some financial support travel for those that are not funded by their employer.  Action 1.8
The Tatiara Groundwater Resource	Roger Cranswick provided a PowerPoint slide presentation overview of the Tatiara resource condition. The Power point is included with this meeting summary as attachment 1
High Level Work Program	<b>Issues review paper PE</b> highlighted the purpose of the preparation issues paper — which is to document the issues that are to be considered in the amendment of the Water Allocation Plan. PE highlighted that a good start had been made on issues for inclusion in the paper as part of the engagement process held in late 2016. It was agreed that at the next meeting the policies of the WAP would be stepped through to provide a context for the rules that operate in the WAP and how these rule were operating. This would provide more context for advisory group members to go to their groups to discuss what should be considered in the review. <b>Action 1.10</b> in the attached Action Table  Additional Information needs of the group were summaries and included:
Advisory Group meeting Program	Meeting arrangements and next meeting Group meeting preference is for Thursdays, at 5 pm with some light dinner provided at the Keith NRSE Office. Meetings during school holidays are to be avoided, meeting planning will include setting dates 2 meetings at a time - next 2 meeting dates to be confirmed at the end of each meeting Materials and agendas will be provided electronically. Members are asked to advise if they prefer hard copies of materials - listed as task 1.3 in the Member Task Table.  Agreed Forward meetings 15 June 5pm — Keith office 27 July 5pm — Venue TBC
Summary of Discussion – Next Steps	Overview of issues Issues Paper – Living or static? - The group agreed that the issues review paper should be a living document. Significant issue to address – the change of hundred boundaries to resource driven management areas  Summary of key outcomes  Key Actions –  • Write to onion and potato grower stakeholder groups to emphasise the importance of their membership and if they cannot participate –identify how they would like to be involved

# Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Summary Meeting 1 17 May 2017 – 7pm NRSE Office Keith

Item	Notes		
	Re offer membership to the McKillop Group		
	Recommendations to Board -		
	Changes to the Group Charter;		
	<ul> <li>ability to invite other stakeholder organisation members to join</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>remove the set number of members to allow for other members</li> </ul>		
	Actions – Information needs		
	<ul> <li>Bring a drainage network coverage to the next meeting of the group – buffer groundwater impact –Action 1.11</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The CSIRO salinity report prepared as part of the Padthaway project by Helen Cleugh be made available to Degaris to source Action 1.12</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Impacts of clay spreading / delving requires greater understanding – Naracoorte ranges report to be located and communicated to the group Action 1.13</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Work on consumptive pools and unbundling will need to be scheduled into the groups work plan / forward agenda programme Action1.14</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>More information/ discussion needed on the basis for the transition line between the Highland and the Plains Action 1.15</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>A web Link for the Tatiara WAP to be shared with the group to ensure group members can review it prior to the next meeting. PE to provide Action 1.16</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Next meeting will include stepping through the Policies of the WAP to assist in understanding how the WAP operates. PE to provide a web link to the group to access the WAP</li> </ul>		
Meeting Close	9.45pm		

Action	Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Actions	Status	Outcome
1.1	That the Onion and Potato Grower Stakeholder organisations are written to again to seek nominations to	In	
	the Advisory group, the letter should also seek their views on how they feel that can be more closely involved if they are unable to nominate a member.	preparation	
1.2	Positions for Onion and Potato Grower Organisations be held open for members if they are able to become involved as the planning process develops.	ongoing	Organisations may be represented at any stage of review
1.3	That the McKillop Farm Management Group is written to again to check if their views on nomination have changed and ask if they would now like to nominate. This letter should also advise them of the full membership of the group to check if they feel their members enterprises are adequately represented by the current group membership.	In preparation	
1.4	Build in engagement of JBS into the Community Engagement Strategy	Part of group meeting item 15.6.17	
1.5	Present key learnings from the LLC process to the group for their consideration.	Group meeting item 15.6.17	
1.6	Amend the draft Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Ground Rules:	Amendments	
	<ul> <li>to include that meetings will finish at the planned time;</li> </ul>	to be tabled	
	<ul> <li>so that agendas and materials will be provided no later than 3 business days prior to the meeting.</li> </ul>	at Group meeting 15.6.17	
1.7	Set Ground Rules and the Charter as a standing meeting agenda item.	ongoing	Remain open for discussion & review
1.8	Clarification to be sought from the Board if there are provisions to support travel for those that are not funded by their employer.	Board meeting item 22.6.17	
1.9	Roger Cranswick to locate the study that examined the transition line between the Mallee Highland and the Coastal plains and share with the group	Draft report located – final being sought to provide to the group	

1.10	Detailed outline of the current Tatiara WAP its policies and how the policies are operating is to be	Group	
1.10		•	
	included as an item on the agenda for meeting 2 of the group.	meeting item	
		15.6.17	
1.11	A map of the drainage network buffered for its influence on groundwater in or adjacent to the Tatiara	ln .	
	PWA to be supplied at the next meeting of the group	preparation	
		for group	
		meeting	
		15.6.17	
1.12	The CSIRO salinity report prepared as part of the Padthaway project by Helen Cleugh be made available to	Kerry has	1
	the group. Kerry Degaris to source	sourced the	
		document to	
		be provided	
		to group	
1.13	Impacts of clay spreading / delving requires greater understanding – Naracoorte ranges report to be	Report being	
	located and communicated to the group	sourced	
1.14	Work on consumptive pools and unbundling will need to be scheduled into the groups work plan /	Discussion to	
	forward agenda programme	be scheduled	
		at a future	
		meeting	
1.15	More information/ discussion needed on the basis for the transition line between the Highland and the	See action	
	Plains	1.9	
1.16	Next meeting will include stepping through the Policies of the WAP to assist in understanding how the	Group	
	WAP operates. PE to provide a web link to the group to access the WAP	meeting item	
		15.6.17	
		Web link	
		with meeting	
		agenda	
		арспаа	<u> </u>

# Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Summary Meeting 1 17 May 2017 – 7pm NRSE Office Keith

# Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Recommendation Table

Action	Recommendation	Board Decision
No.		
1.1	The Group recommended that section 4 (membership ) of the charter be amended to enable the addition of other stakeholder groups to become members of the advisory Group with the consent permission of the SE NRM Board It was suggested that the removal of the number of members (13) will would ensure that more groups could be added to the reference group	Scheduled for Board meeting 22.6.17

### Tatiara WAP Stakeholder Advisory Group Members Task Table

Task	Task	Status	Outcome
No.			
1.1	Group members are requested to review and consider the Ground Rules and bring any additions/		
	alterations to the next meeting for endorsement.		
1.2	Any group members that have not yet to filled in their volunteer registration form are requested	Completed	All members &
	to complete and send to <b>PE</b> asap		observers registered
1.3	Members are requested to provide advice on if they prefer hard copies of meeting materials or		
	electronic copies.		
1.4			
1.5			
1.6			
1.7			